UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,652	09/29/2006	Jean-Hilaire Saurat	3493-0175PUS1	2767	
2292 7590 02/28/2011 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER		
			KARPINSKI, LUKE E		
FALLS CHURC	л, va 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,652	SAURAT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LUKE E. KARPINSKI	1616	

	EORE E: WITH HOR	1 1010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of the final rejection.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee jinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a contract of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	: <u>112 rejection of claim 8</u> .	•	
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted ili a separate,	timely filed afficient canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6 and 8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 7</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration because:  See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for allowance	
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616	2/24/2011		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive. Applicant argues that Liu et al. do not teach the claimed molecular weight. This argument is not found persuasive because Balazs is relied upon for a teaching of molecular weight. Applicant also argues that Balazs do not teach a correlation between molecular weight and depth of penetration. This argument is not found persuasive because Balazs do teach that higher mw fractions remain at the skin surface while lower mw fractions penetrate into the deeper layers of the skin (col. 2-3). Applicant also argues that Balazs' teaching that mw fractions from 10,000 to 200,000 would only treat deeper tissue layers and a use of said fraction is a teaching away from treating upper tissue layers and wrinkles. This argument is not found persuasive because Balazs teaches high mw fractions as well and that said compositions are used for treating wrinkles, further, there is no teaching away from treating any region of the skin.